

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,474	08/29/2001	Yasuo Shinohara	Q65911	4884
7590 07/03/2006			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN,			WILLS, MONIQUE M	
MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
			DATE MAILED: 07/03/2000	6

DATE MAILED. 07/03/2000

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/940,474	SHINOHARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Monique M. Wills	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 March 2006</u> .						
,	.,					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-12 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 August 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed March 2, 2006. The rejection of claims 1-2 & 4-12 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shinohara et al., U.S. Patent 6,447,958, is overcome. However, claims 1-2 & 4-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinohara et al., U.S. Patent 6,447,958. A brief reiteration is recited below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 & 4-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinohara et al., U.S. Patent 6,447,958.

Shinohara teaches a non-aqueous electrolyte battery separator comprising a heat-resistant nitrogen-containing aromatic polymer and a ceramic powder (abstract). With respect to claim 1, Shinohara teaches a separator

Art Unit: 1745

comprising: a thermoplastic polymer fiber substrate, embracing the instant shutdown layer (col. 5, lines 40-55); a microporous heat-resistant nitrogencontaining aromatic polymer (col. 2, lines 45-55) with a porosity of less than 1Tm (col. 10, lines 40-50); and a thermoplastic spacer formed from a fine particle-like suspension (col. 10, lines 1-10). The particle coating, at column 10, lines 1-10, embraces Applicant's spacer, because it separates the surface of the heat-resistant layer from an adjacent electrode. See column 10, lines 1-5, and column 13, lines 18-23. With respect to claim 2, the heat resistant layer consists of a para-aramid porous resin (col. 4, lines 23-28). With respect to claim 5, the spacer is formed of particles with a diameter of 1µm (col. 14, lines 45-53). With respect to claims 7 & 8, the spacer is formed by coating a liquid suspension on the surface of a heat-resistant microporous layer (col. 14, lines 44-53). With respect to claim 9, the spacer consists of an electrochemically stable polyolefin (col. 14, lines 43-53). With respect to claim 10, the separator is employed in a non-aqueous electrolyte secondary battery (col. 1, lines 5-10). With respect to claim 11, the spacer is adjacent the cathode, because the spacer forms the top layer of the separator (col. 14, lines 45-53) and the battery is laminated in the order of cathode, separator and anode (col. 13, lines 15-25). With respect to claim 12, the thermoplastic shut-down layer (col. 9, lines 37-41) is coated with a heat-resistant microporous layer (col. 9, lines 40=45), the

Art Unit: 1745

dried coating is then reinforced with a fine particle like suspension, embracing the instant spacer. See col. 10, lines 1-10.

Shinohara is silent to a spacer thickens of 0.02 to 3 microns (claim 1). The reference does not expressly disclose: a shut-down layer(claim 1); a microporous heat-resistant layer (claim 1); having a temperature deflection under load of 18.6 kg/cm² pf 100°C (claim 1); electrochemically stable polymer spacer (claim 4).

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made to employ a spacer thickness of 0.02 to 3 microns, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

The limitation in claim 1, with respect to separator comprising a shut-down layer, is considered to be an inherent property of substrate as set forth in the prior art a made of thermoplastic polyolefins and polyesters (col. 5, lines 40-50), which have melting temperatures suitable for shut-down (col. 6, lines 15-20). The employment of a polyester substrate is exemplified at column 14, lines 15-20. The limitation in claim 1, with respect to the heat-resistant layer being microporous, is a property of the separator as set forth in the prior art, because the separator of Shinohara has void spaces of less than 1 µm (col. 10,

lines 25–50). The limitation in claim 1, with respect to the heat-resistant layer having a temperature of deflection under load of 18.6 kg/cm² pf 100°C, is a property of the para-aramid porous resin as set forth in the prior art, because Shinohara employs the same heat-resistant resin material set forth by Applicant. Applicant's specification at page 6, lines 12–15, discloses that aramide polymers have a temperature of deflection under load of 18.6 kg/cm² pf 100°C or more. The limitation in claims 4 & 6, with respect to the spacer being an electrochemically stable polymer (claim 4), wherein the static friction coefficient between the spacer-disposed separator surface and a stainless steel surface ground by a 1000 grit polishing paper is 0.5 or less, is a property of the spacer as set forth in the prior art, because Shinohara employs the same polyolefin spacer material set forth by Applicant.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's argument with respect to Shinohara not teaching a specific layering order, is not persuasive for two reasons. First, the claims do not require a specific layering order. It is the claims that define the claimed invention, and it is the claims, not the specifications that are anticipated or unpatentable. Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices Inc., 7 USPW 2d 1064. In

Application/Control Number: 09/940,474

Art Unit: 1745

other words, the claims do not require a specific layering of the separator material, and therefore, doe not exclude the order taught by Shinohara. Second, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the instant layering order, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

Applicant's argument, with respect to Shinohara not teaching a spacer with a thickness from 0.02 to 3 microns, have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinohara et al., U.S. Patent 6,447,958.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply

Art Unit: 1745

is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Monique Wills whose telephone number is (571) 272–1309. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan, may be reached at 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,474

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private

PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov.Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

MW

6/27/06

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/940,474

Art Unit: 1745

Page 9